

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/632,968

**Applicant(s)**

SASAKI, YOSHIYUKI

**Examiner**

Gautam R. Patel

**Art Unit**

2627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gautam R. Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Rachael Leventhal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Interview was conducted at Ms. Leventhal's request. Remarks were explained in more detail by Ms. Leventhal. It was pointed out that some typographical error was made and termination output part and response part are actually inside control unit, part 40 in figure 1 of specification and not part 20. Also, even though all steps are not shown in a single figure they are shown in two different figures which are related. Also page 3 in [background], lines 1-12 implies that formatting process is actually an initialization process. It was also pointed out by the Examiner that new art has been found and it will be used for rejection among other arts.